arXiv:math/0005219v1 [math.OA] 23 May 2000

Locally quantum groups in the von Neumann algebraic setting

Johan Kustermans & Stefaan Vaes

Department of Mathematics
KU Leuven
Celestijnenlaan 200B
B–3001 Heverlee
Belgium

e-mails : johan.kustermans@wis.kuleuven.ac.be & stefaan.vaes@wis.kuleuven.ac.be

May 2000

Abstract. In this paper we complete in several aspects the picture of locally compact quantum groups. First of all we give a definition of a locally compact quantum group in the von Neumann algebraic setting and show how to deduce from it a C*-algebraic quantum group. Further we prove several results about locally compact quantum groups which are important for applications, but were not yet settled in our paper [8]. We prove a serious strengthening of the left invariance of the Haar weight, and we give several formulas connecting the locally compact quantum group with its dual. Loosely speaking we show how the antipode of the locally compact quantum group determines the modular group and modular conjugation of the dual locally compact quantum group.

Introduction

Building on the work of Kac & Vainerman [15], Enock & Schwartz [3], Baaj & Skandalis [1], Woronowicz [18] and Van Daele [16] a precise definition of a locally compact quantum group was recently introduced by the authors in [8], see [9] and [10] for an overview. For an overview of the historic development of the theory we refer to [10] and the introduction to [8]. Because commutative C*-algebras are always of the form $C_0(X)$, where X is a locally compact space and $C_0(X)$ denotes the C*-algebra of continuous functions on X vanishing at infinity, arbitrary C*-algebras are sometimes thought of as the algebra of continuous functions vanishing at infinity on a (non-existing) locally compact quantum space. For this reason the C*-algebra framework is the most natural one to study locally compact quantum groups. The most general commutative example of a locally compact quantum group is $C_0(G)$ with comultiplication $\Delta : C_0(G) \rightarrow C_b(G \times G)$ given by $(\Delta f)(x, y) = f(xy)$, where G is a locally compact group and C_b denotes the algebra of continuous bounded functions. This philosophy is followed in [8] where we defined 'reduced C*-algebraic quantum groups' as the proper notion of a locally compact quantum group in the C*-algebra framework. As already explained, the most general commutative example is $C_0(G)$ where G is a locally compact group. Further the theory unifies compact quantum groups and Kac algebras and it includes known examples as the quantum Heisenberg group, quantum $E(2)$-group, quantum Lorentz group and quantum $az + b$-group. Within this theory one can construct a dual reduced C*-algebraic quantum group and prove a Pontryagin duality theorem.

On a technical level it is often more easy to work with von Neumann algebras rather than C*-algebras, certainly when dealing with weights. So, already in [8], we associated with every reduced C*-algebraic quantum group a von Neumann algebraic quantum group and we used it to prove several results on the

C*-algebra level. The first aim of this paper is to give an intrinsic definition of a von Neumann algebraic quantum group and to associate with it, in a canonical way, a reduced C*-algebraic quantum group. This can be thought of as the quantum analogue of the classical result of Weil (see [17, Appendice I]), stating that every group with an invariant measure has a unique topology turning it into a locally compact group.

A second aim of this paper is to prove some new results on both C*-algebraic and von Neumann algebraic quantum groups, which are indispensable for applications. In our definition of either C*-algebraic or von Neumann algebraic quantum groups we assume the existence of left and right invariant weights. But the property of invariance we assume is quite weak, and in this paper we show how a much stronger notion of invariance can be proved. The same kind of result is stated for Kac algebras in [2], but not proved. The first proof was given by Zsidó in [19] (see also remark 18.23 in [12]). This stronger invariance property is needed whenever an action of a von Neumann algebraic quantum group on a von Neumann algebra appears: see [5] and [13], but also [2] for Kac algebra actions, and it will certainly be useful in future investigations as well.

Further we will complete the picture of the quantum group and its dual with several formulas giving a link between the antipode of the quantum group and the modular theory of its dual. Roughly speaking we obtain that

$$\hat{T}^*\Lambda(x) = \Lambda\big(S(x^*)\big)$$

for nice $x \in M$, where M is the von Neumann algebraic quantum group, Λ is the GNS-map of the left invariant weight φ on M, S is the antipode and $\hat{T}$ is the operator appearing in the modular theory of the left invariant weight $\hat{\varphi}$ on the dual von Neumann algebraic quantum group: it is the closure of $\hat{\Lambda}(\omega) \mapsto \hat{\Lambda}(\omega^*)$ where $\hat{\Lambda}$ is the GNS-map of $\hat{\varphi}$. To these results and formulas will be referred in further research, see e.g. [5] and [13].

We end this introduction with some conventions and references concerning weights and operator valued weights.

We assume that the reader is familiar with the theory of normal semi-finite faithful weights (in short, n.s.f. weights) on von Neumann algebras. Nevertheless, let us fix some notations. So let φ be a n.s.f. weight on a von Neumann algebra M. Then we define the following sets:

1. $\mathcal{M}_\varphi^+ = \{\, x \in M^+ \mid \varphi(x) < \infty \,\}$, so $\mathcal{M}_\varphi^+$ is a hereditary cone in M^+,
2. $\mathcal{N}_\varphi = \{\, x \in M \mid x^*x \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi^+ \,\}$, so $\mathcal{N}_\varphi$ is a left ideal in M,
3. $\mathcal{M}_\varphi =$ the linear span of $\mathcal{M}_\varphi^+$ in M, so $\mathcal{M}_\varphi$ is a *-subalgebra of M.

There exists a unique linear map $F : \mathcal{M}_\varphi \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $F(x) = \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi^+$. For all $x \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi$, we set $\varphi(x) = F(x)$.

A GNS-construction for φ is a triple $(H_\varphi, \pi_\varphi, \Lambda_\varphi)$, where H_φ is a Hilbert space, $\pi_\varphi : M \to B(H_\varphi)$ is a normal *-homomorphism and $\Lambda_\varphi : \mathcal{N}_\varphi \to H_\varphi$ is a σ-strong* closed linear map with dense range such that (1) $\langle \Lambda_\varphi(x), \Lambda_\varphi(y) \rangle = \varphi(y^*x)$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and (2) $\Lambda_\varphi(x\,y) = \pi_\varphi(x)\Lambda_\varphi(y)$ for all $x \in M$ and $y \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$. As usual we introduce the closed densely defined linear operator T in H_φ as the closure of the map $\Lambda_\varphi(x) \mapsto \Lambda_\varphi(x^*)$ for $x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \cap \mathcal{N}_\varphi^*$. Making the polar decomposition $T = J\nabla^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of T we obtain the modular operator ∇ and modular conjugation J of φ with respect to the GNS-construction $(H_\varphi, \pi_\varphi, \Lambda_\varphi)$.

Consider two von Neumann algebras M, N. Let φ be a n.s.f. weight on M with GNS-construction $(H_\varphi, \pi_\varphi, \Lambda_\varphi)$ and let ψ be a n.s.f. weight on N with GNS-construction $(H_\psi, \pi_\psi, \Lambda_\psi)$. The tensor product weight $\varphi \otimes \psi$ is a n.s.f. weight on $M \otimes N$ (see e.g. definition 8.2 of [12] for a definition). This tensor product weight has a GNS-construction $(H_\varphi \otimes H_\psi, \pi_\varphi \otimes \pi_\psi, \Lambda_\varphi \otimes \Lambda_\psi)$ where $\Lambda_\varphi \otimes \Lambda_\psi : \mathcal{N}_{\varphi\otimes\psi} \to H_\varphi \otimes H_\psi$ is the σ-strong* closure of the algebraic tensor product $\Lambda_\varphi \odot \Lambda_\psi : \mathcal{N}_\varphi \odot \mathcal{N}_\psi \to H_\varphi \otimes H_\psi$.

Let M be any von Neumann algebra. For the definition of the extended positive part M_{ext}^+ we refer to definition 1.1 of [4]. For $T \in M_{\text{ext}}^+$ and $\omega \in M_*^+$, we set $\langle T, \omega \rangle = T(\omega) \in [0, \infty]$. Recall that there exists an embedding $M^+ \hookrightarrow M^+_{\text{ext}} : x \mapsto x^\sharp$ such that $\langle x^\sharp, \omega \rangle = \omega(x)$ for all $x \in M^+$ and $\omega \in M^+_*$. We will use this embedding to identify M^+ as a subset of M^+_{ext}.

Consider a von Neumann algebra M and a von Neumann subalgebra N of M. The definition of an operator valued weight from M to N is given in definition 2.1 of [4].

Now consider two von Neumann algebras M and N and a n.s.f. weight φ on M. We identify N with $\mathbb{C} \otimes N$ as a von Neumann subalgebra of $M \otimes N$ to get into the framework of operator valued weights. The operator valued weight $\varphi \otimes \iota : (M \otimes N)^+ \to N^+_{\text{ext}}$ is defined in such a way that for $x \in (M \otimes N)^+$, we have that

$$\omega\big((\varphi \otimes \iota)(x)\big) = \varphi\big((\iota \otimes \omega)(x)\big) \ .$$

As for weights we define the following sets:

1. $\mathcal{M}^+_{\varphi\otimes\iota} = \{\, x \in (M \otimes N)^+ \mid (\varphi \otimes \iota)(x) \in N^+ \,\}$, so $\mathcal{M}^+_{\varphi\otimes\iota}$ is a hereditary cone of $(M \otimes N)^+$,
2. $\mathcal{N}_{\varphi\otimes\iota} = \{\, x \in M \otimes N \mid x^* x \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\varphi\otimes\iota} \,\}$, so $\mathcal{N}_{\varphi\otimes\iota}$ is a left ideal in $M \otimes N$,
3. $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi\otimes\iota}$ = the linear span of $\mathcal{M}^+_{\varphi\otimes\iota}$ in $M \otimes N$, so $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi\otimes\iota}$ is a *-subalgebra of $M \otimes N$.

There exists a unique linear map $G : \mathcal{M}_{\varphi\otimes\iota} \to N$ such that $G(x) = (\varphi \otimes \iota)(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\varphi\otimes\iota}$. For all $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\varphi\otimes\iota}$, we set $(\varphi \otimes \iota)(x) = G(x)$. Let $a \in \mathcal{M}_\varphi$ and $b \in N$. Then it is easy to see that $a \otimes b$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi\otimes\iota}$ and $(\varphi \otimes \iota)(a \otimes b) = \varphi(a)\, b$.

Thanks to the remark after lemma 1.4 of [4], we also have the following characterization of $\mathcal{M}^+_{\varphi\otimes\iota}$: Let $x \in (M \otimes N)^+$, then x belongs to $\mathcal{M}^+_{\varphi\otimes\iota} \Leftrightarrow \varphi((\iota \otimes \omega)(x)) < \infty$ for all $\omega \in M^+_*$.

Let $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\varphi\otimes\iota}$ and $\omega \in N_*$. The inequality $(\iota \otimes \omega)(x)^*(\iota \otimes \omega)(x) \leq \|\omega\|\,(\iota \otimes |\omega|)(x^* x)$ will imply that $(\iota \otimes \omega)(x) \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and

$$\|\Lambda_\varphi((\iota \otimes \omega)(x))\| \leq \|\omega\|\; \|(\varphi \otimes \iota)(x^* x)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \ .$$

When L is some set of elements of a space we denote by $\langle L \rangle$ the linear span of L and by $[L]$ the closed linear span. The symbol $\otimes$ will denote either a von Neumann algebraic tensor product or a tensor product of Hilbert spaces and ι will denote the identity map. Finally we use the symbol χ to denote the flip map from $M \otimes N$ to $N \otimes M$, where N and M are von Neumann algebras. We use Σ to denote the flip map from $H \otimes K$ to $K \otimes H$ when H and K are Hilbert spaces.

1. Von Neumann algebraic quantum groups

We state the definition of a von Neumann algebraic quantum group and discuss how the C*-algebraic theory can be translated to the von Neumann algebraic setting. The major difference between both approaches is the absence of density conditions in the definition of von Neumann algebraic quantum groups: these will follow automaticly!

Definition 1.1. *Consider a von Neumann algebra M together with a unital normal *-homomorphism $\Delta : M \to M \otimes M$ such that $(\Delta \otimes \iota)\Delta = (\iota \otimes \Delta)\Delta$. Assume moreover the existence of*

1. *a n.s.f. weight φ on M that is left invariant: $\varphi((\omega \otimes \iota)\Delta(x)) = \varphi(x)\omega(1)$ for all $\omega \in M^+_*$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}^+_\varphi$.*
2. *a n.s.f. weight ψ on M that is right invariant: $\psi((\iota \otimes \omega)\Delta(x)) = \psi(x)\omega(1)$ for all $\omega \in M^+_*$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}^+_\psi$.*

Then we call the pair (M, Δ) a von Neumann algebraic quantum group.

In the next part of this section we will list the essential properties of these quantum groups. Most of the time the proofs in [8] can be easily translated to the von Neumann algebraic setting by replacing the norm and strict topology in the considerations by the σ-strong* topology. However, some care has to be taken to prove the density conditions and we will discuss this in detail.

For the rest of this section we fix a von Neumann algebraic quantum group (M,Δ). Without loss of generality, we may and will assume that M is in standard form with respect to a Hilbert space H.
At the same time we fix a n.s.f. left invariant weight φ on (M,Δ) together with a GNS-construction (H,ι,Λ) (which is possible because M is in standard form). We let ∇ denote the modular operator and J the modular conjugation of φ with respect to this GNS-construction (H,ι,Λ).

We also choose a n.s.f. right invariant weight ψ on (M,Δ) together with a GNS-construction (H,ι,Γ) (later on, we will introduce some canonical choice for ψ and Γ).

By left invariance of φ, we get that $(\omega\otimes\iota)\Delta(x)\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and $\|\Lambda((\omega\otimes\iota)\Delta(x))\|\leq\|\omega\|\,\|\Lambda(x)\|$ for all $x\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and $\omega\in M_*$. Arguing as in result 2.6 of [8], we also get for $a,b\in\mathcal{N}_\psi$ and $x\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi$ that $(\psi\otimes\iota)(\Delta(b^*x)(a\otimes 1))\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and $\|\Lambda\big((\psi\otimes\iota)(\Delta(b^*x)(a\otimes 1))\big)\|\leq\|\Gamma(a)\|\,\|\Gamma(b)\|\,\|\Lambda(c)\|$.
Along the way to the proof of theorem 1.2, one also translates proposition 3.15 of [8], giving rise to the important equalities

$$\begin{aligned} H &= [\,\Lambda((\omega\otimes\iota)\Delta(x))\mid x\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi,\omega\in M_*\,] && (1.1)\\ &= [\,\Lambda\big((\psi\otimes\iota)(\Delta(b^*x)(a\otimes 1))\big)\mid x\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi, a,b\in\mathcal{N}_\psi\,]\,. && (1.2)\end{aligned}$$

The left invariance of φ implies that $\Delta(y)(x\otimes 1)\in\mathcal{N}_{\varphi\otimes\varphi}$ for all $x,y\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and that

$$\langle(\Lambda\otimes\Lambda)(\Delta(y_1)(x_1\otimes 1)),(\Lambda\otimes\Lambda)(\Delta(y_2)(x_2\otimes 1))\rangle=\langle\Lambda(x_1)\otimes\Lambda(y_1),\Lambda(x_2)\otimes\Lambda(y_2)\rangle$$

for all $x_1,x_2,y_1,y_2\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi$. The proof of the next result is an easy translation of the proof of theorem 3.16 of [8].

Theorem 1.2. *There exists a unique unitary element $W\in B(H\otimes H)$ such that $W^*(\Lambda(x)\otimes\Lambda(y))=(\Lambda\otimes\Lambda)(\Delta(y)(x\otimes 1))$ for all $x,y\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi$.*

It should be noted that $(\omega\otimes\iota)(W^*)\Lambda(x)=\Lambda((\omega\otimes\iota)\Delta(x))$ for all $x\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and $\omega\in B(H)_*$ (see e.g. result 2.10 of [8]). Using the commutant theorem for the tensor product of von Neumann algebras, this implies that W is a unitary element in $M\otimes B(H)$.
Using the formula for W^* above, one sees that $\Delta(x)=W^*(1\otimes x)W$ for all $x\in M$. Applying the techniques of proposition 3.18 of [8], one checks that W satisfies the pentagonal equation: $W_{12}W_{13}W_{23}=W_{23}W_{12}$. We call W the multiplicative unitary of (M,Δ) with respect to the GNS-construction (H,ι,Λ).

It goes without saying that all these results also have their right invariant counterparts. For later purposes we introduce the unitary element $V\in B(H)\otimes M$ such that $V(\Gamma(x)\otimes\Gamma(y))=(\Gamma\otimes\Gamma)(\Delta(x)(1\otimes y))$ for all $x,y\in\mathcal{N}_\psi$. As in result 2.10 of [8], one proves that

$$(\omega_{\Gamma(a),\Gamma(b)}\otimes\iota)(V^*)=(\psi\otimes\iota)(\Delta(b^*)(a\otimes 1))\quad\text{for all}\quad a,b\in\mathcal{N}_\psi. \tag{1.3}$$

The proof of proposition 3.22 of [8] survives the translation to the von Neumann algebra setting. Combining this with equation (1.2) we arrive at the following conclusion.

Proposition 1.3. *There exists a unique densely defined closed antilinear operator G in H such that*

$$\langle\,\Lambda\big((\psi\otimes\iota)(\Delta(x^*)(y\otimes 1))\big)\mid x,y\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi^*\mathcal{N}_\psi\,\rangle$$

is a core for G and

$$G\Lambda\big((\psi\otimes\iota)(\Delta(x^*)(y\otimes 1))\big)=\Lambda\big((\psi\otimes\iota)(\Delta(y^*)(x\otimes 1))\big)$$

for $x,y\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi^*\mathcal{N}_\psi$. We have moreover that G is involutive.

By taking the polar decomposition of G, we get the following essential operators in H.

Notation 1.4. *We define $N=G^*G$, so N is a strictly positive operator in H. We also define the anti-unitary operator I on H such that $G=I\,N^{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

Because G is involutive, we have that $I = I^*$, $I^2 = 1$ and $I\,N\,I = N^{-1}$.

A careful analysis of the proof of proposition 5.5 of [8] reveals that this result remains true in the present setting. By equation (1.3) and the techniques used in the proof of proposition 5.8 of [8], this is equivalent to saying that

$$(\omega_{v,w} \otimes \iota)(V^*)\, G \subseteq G\, (\omega_{w,v} \otimes \iota)(V^*) \qquad \text{and} \qquad (\omega_{v,w} \otimes \iota)(V)\, G^* \subseteq G^*\, (\omega_{w,v} \otimes \iota)(V)$$

for all $v, w \in H$. Hence, appealing to the proof of result 5.10 of [8], we arrive at the vital commutation relation

$$V(\nabla_\psi \otimes N) = (\nabla_\psi \otimes N)V \ , \tag{1.4}$$

where ∇_ψ denotes the modular operator of ψ with respect to the GNS-construction (H, ι, Γ).

Up till now, we did not need the density conditions that are present in the definition of reduced C*-algebraic quantum groups (see definition 4.1 of [8]). This is the case because we were only working on the Hilbert space level for which the relevant density conditions are already established in equations (1.1) and (1.2). In order to further develop the theory along the lines of [8], we will now prove similar density conditions on the level of the von Neumann algebra M. The idea of the proof is taken from [3, 2.7.6].

Proposition 1.5. *Denoting by* $^-$ *the* σ*-strong** *closure we have*

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \langle (\omega \otimes \iota)\Delta(x) \mid x \in M, \omega \in M_* \rangle^- \\ &= \langle (\iota \otimes \omega)\Delta(x) \mid x \in M, \omega \in M_* \rangle^- \\ &= \big\{ (\omega \otimes \iota)(V) \mid \omega \in B(H)_* \big\}^- \ . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Define $\mathcal{T}_\psi$ to be the Tomita *-algebra of ψ. From formula (1.3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \big\{ (\omega \otimes \iota)(V) \mid \omega \in B(H)_* \big\}^- &= \langle (\psi \otimes \iota)\big((ca^* \otimes 1)\Delta(b)\big) \mid a, b \in \mathcal{N}_\psi, c \in \mathcal{T}_\psi \rangle^- \\ &= \langle (\psi \otimes \iota)\big((a^* \otimes 1)\Delta(b)(\sigma^\psi_{-i}(c) \otimes 1)\big) \mid a, b \in \mathcal{N}_\psi, c \in \mathcal{T}_\psi \rangle^- \\ &= \langle (\omega \otimes \iota)\Delta(x) \mid x \in M, \omega \in M_* \rangle^- \ . \end{aligned}$$

Now we define

$$M_r = \langle (\omega \otimes \iota)\Delta(x) \mid x \in M, \omega \in M_* \rangle^- \ .$$

Because V is a multiplicative unitary the linear space $\big\{ (\omega \otimes \iota)(V) \mid \omega \in B(H)_* \big\}$ is an algebra that acts non-degenerately on H. Because M_r is clearly self-adjoint, we get that M_r is a von Neumann subalgebra of M. Working with the von Neumann algebraic quantum group $(M, \chi\Delta)$ instead of (M, Δ) we obtain that also

$$M_l = \langle (\iota \otimes \omega)\Delta(x) \mid x \in M, \omega \in M_* \rangle^-$$

is a von Neumann subalgebra of M. Observe that it follows from the commutant theorem for the tensor product of von Neumann algebras that $\Delta(x) \in M_l \otimes M_r$ for all $x \in M$.

Then we conclude from equation (1.4) that it is possible to define a one-parameter group $(\tau_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ of automorphisms of M_r by $\tau_t(x) = N^{-it} x N^{it}$ for all $x \in M_r$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. It also follows from equation (1.4) and the fact $\Delta(x) = V(x \otimes 1)V^*$ for all $x \in M$, that we have $\Delta(\sigma^\psi_t(x)) = (\sigma^\psi_t \otimes \tau_{-t})\Delta(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, which makes sense because $\Delta(x) \in M \otimes M_r$. For the same reason we can write

$$M_l = \big\{ (\iota \otimes \omega)\Delta(x) \mid x \in M, \omega \in (M_r)_* \big\}^-$$

and because $\sigma^\psi_t\big((\iota \otimes \omega)\Delta(x)\big) = (\iota \otimes \omega\tau_t)\Delta(\sigma^\psi_t(x))$ for all $\omega \in (M_r)_*$ and $x \in M$, we get $\sigma^\psi_t(M_l) = M_l$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By the right invariance of ψ it follows that the restriction ψ_l of ψ to M_l is semifinite. By Takesaki's theorem (see e.g. [12, 10.1]) there exists a unique normal faithful conditional expectation E from M to M_l satisfying $\psi(x) = \psi_l(E(x))$ for all $x \in M^+$. From [12, 10.2] it follows that $E(x)P = PxP$ for all $x \in M$, where P denotes the orthogonal projection onto the closure of $\Gamma(\mathcal{N}_\psi \cap M_l)$. So the range of P contains $\Gamma\big((\iota\otimes\omega)\Delta(x)\big)$ for all $\omega\in M_*$ and $x\in\mathcal{N}_\psi$. By the right invariant version of equation (1.1) we get that $P=1$. So E is the identity map and $M_l=M$.

Working with the von Neumann algebraic quantum group $(M,\chi\Delta)$ we obtain $M=M_r$. We already proved that M_r is the σ-strong* closure of $\{(\omega\otimes\iota)(V)\mid\omega\in B(H)_*\}$ and so this concludes the proof of the proposition. ■

Because we have proved that (M,Δ) satisfies the above density conditions, it is straightforward to translate the rest of the the proofs in [8] to the von Neumann algebraic setting. In the following part of this section, we collect the most important results (we will not stick to the order as they appear in [8]).

Uniqueness of invariant weights. An essential result is the uniqueness of left and right invariant weights. If θ is a normal semi-finite left invariant weight on (M,Δ), then there exists a non-negative number r such that $\theta=r\,\varphi$. A similar result holds for right invariant weights.

The antipode and its polar decomposition. The antipode of our quantum group is defined through its polar decomposition. There exists a strongly continuous one-parameter group τ on M such that $\tau_t(x)=N^{-it}xN^{it}$ for all $x\in M$ and $t\in\mathbb{R}$. At the same time, we have a *-anti-automorphism R on M such that $R(x)=Ix^*I$ for all $x\in M$.
Then $R^2=\iota$, R and τ commute and we define $S=R\tau_{-\frac{i}{2}}=\tau_{-\frac{i}{2}}R$. Note that these 3 properties determine the pair R, τ completely in terms of the map S. The map $S:D(S)\subseteq M\rightarrow M$ is a σ-strongly* closed map with σ-strong* dense domain and range that is determined by (M,Δ) through the following so-called strong left invariance properties.
We have for all $a,b\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi$ that $(\iota\otimes\varphi)(\Delta(a^*)(1\otimes b))\in D(S)$ and

$$S\big((\iota\otimes\varphi)(\Delta(a^*)(1\otimes b))\big)=(\iota\otimes\varphi)((1\otimes a^*)\Delta(b))\ . \tag{1.5}$$

The space $\langle\,(\iota\otimes\varphi)(\Delta(a^*)(1\otimes b))\mid a,b\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi\,\rangle$ is a core for S. A similar result holds for right invariant weights (see proposition 5.24 of [8]). For other characterizations of S we refer to proposition 5.33 and corollary 5.34 of [8].

We refer to S as the antipode of the quantum group (M,Δ). The one-parameter group τ is called the scaling group of (M,Δ), the map R is called the unitary antipode of (M,Δ).
There also exists a unique strictly positive number ν such that $\varphi\tau_t=\nu^{-t}\,\varphi$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$. We call ν the scaling constant of (M,Δ). In connection with this relative invariance, it is useful to define the strictly positive operator P in H such that $P^{it}\,\Lambda(x)=\nu^{\frac{t}{2}}\,\Lambda(\tau_t(x))$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$ and $x\in\mathcal{N}_\varphi$. We observe that $\tau_t(x)=P^{it}xP^{-it}$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$ and $x\in M$.

The right Haar weight and the modular element. Because we have the equation $\chi(R\otimes R)\Delta=\Delta R$, we get that φR is a right invariant n.s.f. weight on (M,Δ). From now on we suppose that $\psi=\varphi R$. Let σ' denote the modular group of ψ. Remember that $\sigma'_t=R\sigma_{-t}R$ for all $t\in R$. We have that $\varphi\sigma'_t=\nu^t\,\varphi$, $\psi\sigma_t=\nu^{-t}\,\psi$ and $\psi\tau_t=\nu^{-t}\,\psi$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$.

By the Radon Nikodym theorem 5.5 of [14], we get the existence of a unique strictly positive element δ affiliated to M such that $\sigma_t(\delta)=\nu^t\,\delta$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\psi=\varphi_\delta$ (see definition 1.5 of [14] for the precise definition of φ_δ). Formally we have $\psi(x)=\varphi(\delta^{1/2}x\delta^{1/2})$. The element δ is called the modular element of (M,Δ).
We have that $\Delta(\delta)=\delta\otimes\delta$, $R(\delta)=\delta^{-1}$ and $\tau_t(\delta)=\delta$ for all $t\in\mathbb{R}$.

Now we choose the GNS-construction (H,ι,Γ) for ψ such that $\Gamma=\Lambda_\delta$ (see the remarks before proposition 1.15 in [8] for a precise definition of Λ_δ). We denote the modular operator of ψ in this GNS-construction by $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$. Recall that $\nu^{\frac{i}{4}}\,J$ is the modular conjugation of ψ with respect to this same GNS-construction.

The fundamental commutation relations. A full-fledged theory of quantum groups would be impossible without the following list of commutation relations.

1. The one-parameter groups τ, σ and σ' commute pairwise.
2. For all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\,\sigma_t &= (\tau_t \otimes \sigma_t)\Delta & \qquad \Delta\,\sigma'_t &= (\sigma'_t \otimes \tau_{-t})\Delta \\ \Delta\,\tau_t &= (\tau_t \otimes \tau_t)\Delta & \qquad \Delta\,\tau_t &= (\sigma_t \otimes \sigma'_{-t})\Delta \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

3. On the Hilbert space level, we get that

$$(I \otimes J)W = W^*(I \otimes J) \tag{1.7}$$

$$(N^{-1} \otimes \nabla)W = W(N^{-1} \otimes \nabla) \tag{1.8}$$

The dual von Neumann algebraic quantum group. The multiplicative unitary W is manageable in the sense of [18] with P as the managing positive operator (see proposition 6.10 of [8]). We follow more or less chapter 3 of [3] to obtain the Haar weight on the dual von Neumann algebraic quantum group (see section 8 of [8] for the proofs of the next results).

Definition 1.6. *Define $\hat{M}$ to be the σ-strong* closure of the algebra $\{\, (\omega \otimes \iota)(W) \mid \omega \in B(H)_* \,\}$. Then $\hat{M}$ is a von Neumann algebra and there exists a unique unital normal *-homomorphism $\hat{\Delta} : \hat{M} \to \hat{M} \otimes \hat{M}$ such that $\hat{\Delta}(x) = \Sigma W(x \otimes 1)W^*\Sigma$ for all $x \in \hat{M}$. The pair $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta})$ is again a von Neumann algebraic quantum group, referred to as the dual of (M, Δ).*

The predual M_* is a Banach algebra if we define the product such that $\omega\theta = (\omega \otimes \theta)\Delta$ for all $\omega, \theta \in M_*$ (of course, M_* should be thought of as the space of L^1-functions of M). Moreover, the map $\lambda : M_* \to \hat{M} : \omega \mapsto (\omega \otimes \iota)(W)$ is an injective morphism of algebras.
Let us recall the construction of the dual weight $\hat{\varphi}$. First of all, we define

$$\mathcal{I} = \{\, \omega \in M_* \mid \exists M \in \mathbb{R}^+ : |\omega(x^*)| \leq M\, \|\Lambda(x)\| \text{ for all } x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \,\} .$$

By the Riesz theorem for Hilbert spaces there exists for every $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$ a unique element $\xi(\omega) \in H$ such that $\omega(x^*) = \langle \xi(\omega), \Lambda(x) \rangle$ for all $x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$. Then $\mathcal{I}$ is a left ideal in M_*, the map $\mathcal{I} \to H : \omega \mapsto \xi(\omega)$ is linear and $\lambda(\eta)\xi(\omega) = \xi(\eta\omega)$ for all $\eta \in M_*$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$.

There exists a unique σ-strong*–norm closed linear map $\hat{\Lambda}$, with σ-strong* dense domain $D(\hat{\Lambda}) \subseteq \hat{M}$, into H such that $\lambda(\mathcal{I})$ is a σ-strong*–norm core for $\hat{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\omega)) = \xi(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$. The dual weight $\hat{\varphi}$ is the unique n.s.f. weight on $\hat{M}$ having the triple $(H, \iota, \hat{\Lambda})$ as a GNS-construction. It turns out that $\hat{\varphi}$ is left invariant with respect to $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta})$. We denote the modular group of $\hat{\varphi}$ by $\hat{\sigma}$.

We denote the antipode, unitary antipode and scaling group of $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta})$ by $\hat{S}$, $\hat{R}$ and $\hat{\tau}$ respectively. The scaling constant of $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta})$ is equal to ν^{-1}. Define the right invariant n.s.f. weight $\hat{\psi}$ on $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta})$ as $\hat{\psi} = \hat{\varphi}\hat{R}$. The modular group of $\hat{\psi}$ will be denoted by $\hat{\sigma}'$. Denote the modular element of $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta})$ by $\hat{\delta}$. Referring to the fact that $\hat{\psi} = \hat{\varphi}_{\hat{\delta}}$, we define the GNS-construction $(H, \iota, \hat{\Gamma})$ such that $\hat{\Gamma} = \hat{\Lambda}_{\hat{\delta}}$.

The modular operator and modular conjugation of $\hat{\varphi}$ with respect to $(H, \iota, \hat{\Lambda})$ will be denoted by $\hat{\nabla}$ and $\hat{J}$ respectively. We will denote the modular operator of $\hat{\psi}$ with respect to $(H, \iota, \hat{\Gamma})$ by $\hat{\mathbf{\nabla}}$.
It is also worth mentioning that $P^{it}\hat{\Lambda}(x) = \nu^{-\frac{t}{2}}\,\hat{\Lambda}(\hat{\tau}_t(x))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\varphi}}$, which means in a sense that $\hat{P} = P$.

Finally we mention that $M \cap \hat{M} = \mathbb{C}$.

Pontryagin duality. As in the previous paragraph, we can also construct the dual $(\hat{\hat{M}}, \hat{\hat{\Delta}})$ of $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta})$. Notice that the construction of the dual depends on the choice of the GNS-construction of the left Haar weight. If we use the GNS-construction $(H, \iota, \hat{\Lambda})$ for the construction of the dual $(\hat{\hat{M}}, \hat{\hat{\Delta}})$, the Pontryagin duality theorem tells us that $(\hat{\hat{M}}, \hat{\hat{\Delta}}) = (M, \Delta)$. We even have that $\hat{\hat{\varphi}} = \varphi$ and $\hat{\hat{\Lambda}} = \Lambda$.
Since $(\hat{\hat{M}}, \hat{\hat{\Delta}}) = (M, \Delta)$, we get that $\hat{\hat{\delta}} = \delta$. Hence $\hat{\hat{\Gamma}} = \Lambda_\delta = \Gamma$.

From von Neumann algebraic to C*-algebraic quantum groups. In [8], we associated to any reduced C*-algebraic quantum group a von Neumann algebraic quantum group by taking the σ-strong* closure of the underlying C*-algebra in the GNS-space of a left Haar weight. In the last part of this section we go the other way around by introducing a C*-algebraic quantum group.
To distinguish between von Neumann algebraic and C*-algebraic tensor products we will denote the minimal C*-tensor product by $\otimes_{\mathrm{c}}$.

Proposition 1.7. *Define M_{c} to be the norm closure of the space $\{\, (\iota \otimes \omega)(W) \mid \omega \in B(H)_* \,\}$ and Δ_{c} to be the restriction of Δ to M_{c}. Then the pair $(M_{\mathrm{c}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{c}})$ is a reduced C*-algebraic quantum group.*

Proof. Because W is manageable and $\Delta_{\mathrm{c}}(x) = W^*(1 \otimes x)W$ for all $x \in M_{\mathrm{c}}$, propositions 1.5 and 5.1 of [18] imply that M_{c} is a C*-algebra, Δ_{c} is a non-degenerate *-homomorphism from M_{c} into the multiplier algebra of $M_{\mathrm{c}} \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} M_{\mathrm{c}}$, such that $(\Delta_{\mathrm{c}} \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} \iota)\Delta_{\mathrm{c}} = (\iota \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} \Delta_{\mathrm{c}})\Delta_{\mathrm{c}}$ and both $\Delta_{\mathrm{c}}(M_{\mathrm{c}})(M_{\mathrm{c}} \otimes 1)$ and $\Delta_{\mathrm{c}}(M_{\mathrm{c}})(1 \otimes M_{\mathrm{c}})$ are dense in $M_{\mathrm{c}} \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} M_{\mathrm{c}}$.
Now define φ_{c} and ψ_{c} to be the restriction of φ and ψ to M_{c}^+ respectively, giving you two faithful lower semi-continuous weights on M_{c}.
By equation (1.7) we get that $(I \otimes J)W(I \otimes J) = W^*$, implying that $R((\iota \otimes \omega_{v,w})(W)) = (\iota \otimes \omega_{Jw,Jv})(W)$ for all $v, w \in H$. It follows that $R(M_{\mathrm{c}}) = M_{\mathrm{c}}$. Define R_{c} to be the restriction of R to M_{c}. Then R_{c} is a *-anti-automorphism of M_{c} satisfying $\chi(R_{\mathrm{c}} \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} R_{\mathrm{c}})\Delta_{\mathrm{c}} = \Delta_{\mathrm{c}} R_{\mathrm{c}}$. It is also clear that $\psi_{\mathrm{c}} = \varphi_{\mathrm{c}} R_{\mathrm{c}}$.
For $a, b \in \mathcal{N}_\psi$ and $c \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$, we have that

$$(\psi \otimes \iota)(\Delta(b^*c)(a \otimes 1)) = R\big((\iota \otimes \varphi)((1 \otimes R(a))\Delta(R(c)R(b)^*))\big) = R\big((\iota \otimes \omega_{\Lambda(R(c)R(b)^*),\Lambda(R(a)^*)})(W^*)\big) \ ,$$

which implies that $M_{\mathrm{c}} = [\, (\psi \otimes \iota)(\Delta(b^*c)(a \otimes 1)) \mid a, b \in \mathcal{N}_\psi, c \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \,]$.
We know that $(\psi \otimes \iota)(\Delta(b^*c)(a \otimes 1)) \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and thus $(\psi \otimes \iota)(\Delta(b^*c)(a \otimes 1)) \in \mathcal{N}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{N}_\psi$ and $c \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$. It follows that φ_{c} is densely defined.
Define Λ_{c} to be the restriction of Λ to $\mathcal{N}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}$. Equation (1.2) guarantees that $\Lambda_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathcal{N}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}})$ is dense in H. Therefore $(H, \iota, \Lambda_{\mathrm{c}})$ is a GNS-construction for φ_{c}.
Equation (1.8) tells us that $(N^{-1} \otimes \nabla)W = W(N^{-1} \otimes \nabla)$ implying that

$$\tau_t((\iota \otimes \omega_{v,w})(W)) = (\iota \otimes \omega_{\nabla^{it}v, \nabla^{it}w})(W) \tag{1.9}$$

for all $v, w \in H$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $\tau_t(M_{\mathrm{c}}) = M_{\mathrm{c}}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Define the one-parameter group τ^{c} on M_{c} by setting $\tau^{\mathrm{c}}_t = \tau_t \restriction_{M_{\mathrm{c}}}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Notice that equation (1.9) implies that τ^{c} is norm continuous.
Since $\Delta_{\mathrm{c}}(M_{\mathrm{c}})(1 \otimes M_{\mathrm{c}})$ is a dense subset of $M_{\mathrm{c}} \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} M_{\mathrm{c}}$, we get that $M_{\mathrm{c}} = [\, (\iota \otimes \omega)\Delta(x) \mid \omega \in B(H)_*, x \in M_{\mathrm{c}} \,]$.
Equation (1.6) implies for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\omega \in B(H)_*$ and $x \in M_{\mathrm{c}}$ that

$$\sigma_t((\iota \otimes \omega)\Delta(x)) = (\iota \otimes \omega\sigma'_t)\Delta(\tau^{\mathrm{c}}_t(x)). \tag{1.10}$$

Therefore $\sigma_t(M_{\mathrm{c}}) = M_{\mathrm{c}}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and we can define a one parameter group σ^{c} on M_{c} by setting $\sigma^{\mathrm{c}}_t = \sigma_t \restriction_{M_{\mathrm{c}}}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Equation (1.10) implies that σ^{c} is norm continuous.
By now it is clear that φ_{c} is a KMS-weight on M_{c} (in the C*-algebraic sense) with σ^{c} as its modular group. Because $\psi = \varphi R$, we also get that ψ_{c} is a KMS-weight on M_{c}.

Take $\omega \in (M_{\mathrm{c}})^*_+$ and $x \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}$. Choose $\eta \in B(H)^+_*$. On the C*-algebra M_{c} we can make a GNS-construction for the positive functional ω. This way we obtain a Hilbert space K, a non-degenerate representation π of M_{c} on K and a (cyclic) vector $v \in K$ such that $\omega = \omega_{v,v}\pi$. By theorem 1.5 of [18] we know that W belongs to the multiplier algebra of $M_{\mathrm{c}} \otimes B_0(H)$, where $B_0(H)$ denotes the C*-algebra of compact operators on H. Hence the unitary U defined by $U := (\pi \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} \iota)(W)$ belongs to $B(K) \otimes B(H)$. Define $\theta \in B(H)^+_*$ by setting $\theta(x) = (\omega_{v,v} \otimes \eta)(U^*(1 \otimes x)U)$ for all $x \in B(H)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\eta \otimes \iota)\Delta\big((\omega \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} \iota)(\Delta_{\mathrm{c}}(x))\big) &= (\eta \otimes \iota)\big((\omega \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} \iota \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} \iota)((\Delta_{\mathrm{c}} \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} \iota)\Delta_{\mathrm{c}}(x))\big) \\ &= (\eta \otimes \iota)\big((\omega_{v,v} \otimes \iota \otimes \iota)(U^*_{12}\Delta(x)_{23}U_{12})\big) = (\theta \otimes \iota)\Delta(x) \ .\end{aligned}$$

Therefore the left invariance of φ implies that $(\eta \otimes \iota)\Delta\big((\omega \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} \iota)(\Delta_{\mathrm{c}}(x))\big)$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}^+_\varphi$ and

$$\varphi\big((\eta \otimes \iota)\Delta\big((\omega \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} \iota)(\Delta_{\mathrm{c}}(x))\big)\big) = \theta(1)\,\varphi(x) = \omega(1)\,\eta(1)\,\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}(x) \ . \tag{1.11}$$

Translating proposition 5.15 of [8] to the von Neumann algebra setting, we now conclude that $(\omega \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} \iota)(\Delta_{\mathrm{c}}(x))$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}^+_\varphi$ and therefore to $\mathcal{M}^+_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}$.

Taking $\eta \in B(H)_*$ such that $\eta(1) = 1$, equation (1.11) and the left invariance of φ imply that

$$\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}\big((\omega \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} \iota)(\Delta_{\mathrm{c}}(x))\big) = \varphi\big((\omega \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} \iota)(\Delta_{\mathrm{c}}(x))\big) = \varphi\big((\eta \otimes \iota)\Delta\big((\omega \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} \iota)(\Delta_{\mathrm{c}}(x))\big)\big) = \omega(1)\,\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}(x) \ .$$

So we have proven that φ_{c} is left invariant in the sense of definition 2.2 of [8]. Because $\chi(R_{\mathrm{c}} \otimes_{\mathrm{c}} R_{\mathrm{c}})\Delta = \Delta R_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\psi_{\mathrm{c}} = \varphi_{\mathrm{c}} R_{\mathrm{c}}$ we also get that ψ_{c} is right invariant. From all this we conclude that $(M_{\mathrm{c}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{c}})$ is a reduced C*-algebraic quantum group. ∎

The GNS-construction $(H, \iota, \Lambda_{\mathrm{c}})$ for φ_{c} was obtained by letting Λ_{c} be the restriction of Λ to $\mathcal{N}_{\varphi_{\mathrm{c}}}$. By the definitions introduced at the end of section 4 in [8], it is clear that this implies that W is the multiplicative unitary of $(M_{\mathrm{c}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{c}})$ in this GNS-construction $(H, \iota, \Lambda_{\mathrm{c}})$.

Since σ^{c}_t and τ^{c}_t are restrictions of σ_t and τ_t respectively, it is clear that $(\tau^{\mathrm{c}}_t \otimes \sigma^{\mathrm{c}}_t)\Delta = \Delta\sigma^{\mathrm{c}}_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and so the density conditions imply that τ^{c} is the scaling group of $(M_{\mathrm{c}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{c}})$. It follows that ν is also the scaling constant of $(M_{\mathrm{c}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{c}})$. Letting S_{c} denote the antipode of $(M_{\mathrm{c}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{c}})$, proposition 5.33 of [8] and its von Neumann algebraic counterpart imply that $S_{\mathrm{c}} \subseteq S$. Since τ^{c} is the scaling group of $(M_{\mathrm{c}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{c}})$ and R_{c} was obtained by restricting R to M_{c}, this implies that R_{c} is the unitary antipode of $(M_{\mathrm{c}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{c}})$.

Following [8], we associate to the reduced C*-algebraic quantum group $(M_{\mathrm{c}}, \Delta_{\mathrm{c}})$ the von Neumman algebraic quantum group $(\tilde{M}_{\mathrm{c}}, \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{c}})$ by letting $\tilde{M}_{\mathrm{c}}$ be the σ-strong* closure of M_{c} and defining $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{c}}$ to be the unique normal *-homomorphism from $\tilde{M}_{\mathrm{c}}$ to $\tilde{M}_{\mathrm{c}} \otimes \tilde{M}_{\mathrm{c}}$ extending Δ_{c}. It follows from proposition 1.5 that $(\tilde{M}_{\mathrm{c}}, \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathrm{c}}) = (M, \Delta)$. We get similar results for the extensions of the Haar weights, their modular groups, the scaling group, the unitary antipode and the antipode itself.

2. COMMUTATION RELATIONS AND RELATED MATTERS

In this section we establish some useful technical properties about von Neumann algebraic quantum groups that are often used when working in the operator algebra approach to quantum groups. We start of by implementing the scaling groups and unitary antipodes. Then we prove some results concerning the dual and end by formulating some commutation relations.

We still have fixed a von Neumann algebraic quantum group (M, Δ) and we use the notations introduced in section 1.

Proposition 2.1. *The following properties hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_t(x) &= \hat{\nabla}^{it} x\, \hat{\nabla}^{-it} \ \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in M \qquad & R(x) &= \hat{J} x^* \hat{J} \ \text{ for all } x \in M \\ \hat{\tau}_t(x) &= \nabla^{it} x\, \nabla^{-it} \ \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \hat{M} \qquad & \hat{R}(x) &= J x^* J \ \text{ for all } x \in \hat{M} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By propositions 8.17 and 8.25 of [8], we know that $\hat{R}(x) = Jx^*J$ for all $x \in \hat{M}$. Therefore the Pontryagin duality theorem guarantees that also $R(x) = \hat{J}x^*\hat{J}$ for all $x \in M$.

Choose $x \in M$. By lemma 8.8 and proposition 8.9 of [8] we know that $\hat{\nabla}^{it} = P^{it} J \delta^{it} J$, and so we get that $\hat{\nabla}^{it} x\, \hat{\nabla}^{-it} = P^{it} J \delta^{it} J\, x\, J \delta^{-it} J P^{-it}$. But Tomita-Takesaki theory tells us that $J\delta^{it}J$ belongs to M', implying that $\hat{\nabla}^{it} x\, \hat{\nabla}^{-it} = P^{it} x\, P^{-it} = \tau_t(x)$.

Pontryagin duality allows us to conclude that $\hat{\tau}^{it}(x) = \nabla^{it} x \nabla^{-it}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \hat{M}$. ■

We have that $(R \otimes \hat{R})(W) = W$ and $(\tau_t \otimes \hat{\tau}_t)(W) = W$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (see the remarks before propositions 8.18 and 8.25 of [8]). Hence the next result.

Corollary 2.2. *We have the following commutation relations:*

$$W(\hat{\nabla} \otimes \nabla) = (\hat{\nabla} \otimes \nabla)W \qquad \text{and} \qquad W(\hat{J} \otimes J) = (\hat{J} \otimes J)W^* \ .$$

Notice that for the same reasons, $W(P \otimes \nabla) = (P \otimes \nabla)W$ and $W(\hat{\nabla} \otimes P) = (\hat{\nabla} \otimes P)W$.

In the next part, we complete the picture of the dual. For this reason, let us introduce a natural *-algebra inside M_*.

Definition 2.3. *Define the subspace $M_*^\sharp$ of M_* as*

$$M_*^\sharp = \{\, \omega \in M_* \mid \exists\, \theta \in M_* : \theta(x) = \overline{\omega}(S(x)) \text{ for all } x \in D(S) \,\} \ .$$

We define the antilinear mapping $.^* : M_*^\sharp \to M_*^\sharp$ such that $\omega^*(x) = \overline{\omega}(S(x))$ for all $\omega \in M_*^\sharp$ and $x \in D(S)$. Then $M_*^\sharp$ is a subalgebra of M_* and becomes a *-algebra under the operation $.^*$.

If $x \in D(S)$, then $S(x)^* \in D(S)$ and $S(S(x)^*)^* = x$ (which follows from the corresponding property for $\tau_{-\frac{i}{2}}$). This implies that $.^*$ is an involution on $M_*^\sharp$. If ω and η are elements in M_* such that ωS and ηS are bounded and their unique continuous linear extensions $\widetilde{(\omega S)}$ and $\widetilde{(\eta S)}$ belong to M_*, then $(\omega\eta)S$ is bounded and $\widetilde{(\eta S)}\,\widetilde{(\omega S)}$ is its unique continuous linear extension (cfr lemma 5.25 of [8]). From this, it follows that $M_*^\sharp$ is a subalgebra of M_* and that $.^*$ is antimultiplicative. Notice that, since S can be unbounded, $M_*^\sharp$ can be strictly smaller than M_*.

For $\omega \in B(H)_*$, the element $(\iota \otimes \omega)(W)$ belongs to $D(S)$ and $S((\iota \otimes \omega)(W)) = (\iota \otimes \omega)(W^*)$. The space $\{\, (\iota \otimes \omega)(W) \mid \omega \in B(H)_* \,\}$ is moreover a σ-strong* core for S. (see proposition 8.3 of [8]). We use this characterization of S to prove the next result.

Proposition 2.4. *The following holds :*

1. $M_*^\sharp = \{\, \omega \in M_* \mid \exists\, \theta \in M_* : \lambda(\omega)^* = \lambda(\theta) \,\}$.
2. $\lambda(\omega)^* = \lambda(\omega^*)$ *for all* $\omega \in M_*^\sharp$.

Proof. Take $\omega \in M_*$. Then we have for all $\eta \in B(H)_*$ that

$$\overline{\omega}\big(S((\iota \otimes \eta)(W))\big) = \overline{\omega}((\iota \otimes \eta)(W^*)) = \eta((\omega \otimes \iota)(W)^*) = \eta(\lambda(\omega)^*) \ . \tag{2.1}$$

If $\omega \in M_*^\sharp$ then the formula above implies for all $\eta \in B(H)_*$ that

$$\eta(\lambda(\omega^*)) = \eta((\omega^* \otimes \iota)(W)) = \omega^*((\iota \otimes \eta)(W)) = \eta(\lambda(\omega)^*) \ ,$$

and hence $\lambda(\omega^*) = \lambda(\omega)^*$.

Now suppose that there exists $\theta \in M_*$ such that $\lambda(\omega)^* = \lambda(\theta)$. By formula (2.1) above, we get for all $\eta \in B(H)_*$ that

$$\overline{\omega}\big(S((\iota \otimes \eta)(W))\big) = \eta((\theta \otimes \iota)(W)) = \theta((\iota \otimes \eta)(W)) \ .$$

Because such elements $(\iota \otimes \eta)(W)$ form a σ-strong* core for S, we get $\overline{\omega}(S(x)) = \theta(x)$ for all $x \in D(S)$. So ω belongs to $M_*^\sharp$. ■

It is easy to prove that $M_*^\sharp$ is dense in M_* implying that the *-algebra $\lambda(M_*^\sharp)$ is σ-strong* dense in $\hat{M}$. For later purposes, we will need a result which gives a little bit more information.

Lemma 2.5. *The spaces $\mathcal{I} \cap M_*^\sharp$ and $(\mathcal{I} \cap M_*^\sharp)^*$ are dense in M_* and $\lambda(\mathcal{I} \cap M_*^\sharp)$ is a σ-strong*–norm core for $\hat{\Lambda}$.*

Proof. Consider $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we define $\omega(n,z) \in M_*$ as

$$\omega(n,z) = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \exp(-n^2(t+z)^2)\, \omega\tau_t \, dt \ .$$

So we have for $x \in D(S)$ that $x \in D(\tau_{-\frac{i}{2}})$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\omega(n,z)}(S(x)) &= \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \exp(-n^2(t+\bar{z})^2)\, \overline{\omega}\big(\tau_t(S(x))\big)\, dt \\ &= \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \exp(-n^2(t+\bar{z})^2)\, \overline{\omega}\big(R(\tau_{t-\frac{i}{2}}(x))\big)\, dt \\ &= \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \exp(-n^2(t+\frac{i}{2}+\bar{z})^2)\, \overline{\omega}\big(R(\tau_t(x))\big)\, dt \ , \end{aligned}$$

from which we conclude that $\omega(n,z) \in M_*^\sharp$ and

$$\omega(n,z)^* = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \exp(-n^2(t+\frac{i}{2}+\bar{z})^2)\, \overline{\omega} R \tau_t \, dt \ . \tag{2.2}$$

It is easy to check that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\omega\tau_t \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\xi(\omega\tau_t) = \nu^{-\frac{t}{2}}\, P^{-it}\xi(\omega)$. Therefore the closedness of the mapping $\eta \mapsto \xi(\eta)$ implies that $\omega(n,z) \in \mathcal{I}$ and

$$\xi(\omega(n,z)) = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \exp(-n^2(t+z)^2)\, \nu^{-\frac{t}{2}}\, P^{-it}\xi(\omega)\, dt \ . \tag{2.3}$$

(1) Let $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$. Then we have for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\omega(n,0) \in \mathcal{I} \cap M_*^\sharp$. Clearly, $(\omega(n,0))_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to ω. Equation (2.3) implies that $\big(\xi(\omega(n,0))\big)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $\xi(\omega)$. In other words, $\big(\hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\omega(n,0)))\big)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $\hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\omega))$. Since $\mathcal{I}$ is dense in M_* and $\lambda(\mathcal{I})$ is a core for $\hat{\Lambda}$, we conclude that $\mathcal{I} \cap M_*^\sharp$ is dense in M_* and that $\lambda(\mathcal{I} \cap M_*^\sharp)$ is a σ-strong*–norm core for $\hat{\Lambda}$.
(2) Let $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$. Then we have for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ that $\omega(n,\frac{i}{2}) \in \mathcal{I} \cap M_*^\sharp$ and

$$\omega(n,\frac{i}{2})^* = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \exp(-n^2 t^2)\, \overline{\omega} R \tau_t \, dt$$

by equation (2.2). So $(\omega(n,\frac{i}{2})^*)_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $\overline{\omega}R$. From this all, we conclude that $(\mathcal{I} \cap M_*^\sharp)^*$ is dense in M_*.

■

Proposition 2.6. *Define $\mathcal{I}^\sharp = \{\, x \in \mathcal{I} \cap M_*^\sharp \mid x^* \in \mathcal{I} \,\}$. Then $\mathcal{I}^\sharp$ is a *-subalgebra of $M_*^\sharp$ such that $\mathcal{I}^\sharp$ is dense in M_* and $\lambda(\mathcal{I}^\sharp)$ is a σ-strong*–norm core for $\hat{\Lambda}$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\mathcal{I}^\sharp$ is a *-subalgebra of $M_*^\sharp$. Because $\mathcal{I}$ is a left ideal in M_*, we get that $(\mathcal{I} \cap M_*^\sharp)^*(\mathcal{I} \cap M_*^\sharp) \subseteq \mathcal{I}^\sharp$. Thus in order to prove that $\mathcal{I}^\sharp$ is dense in M_*, it is by the previous lemma enough to prove that $(M_*)^2$ is dense in M_*. But we have for all $v \in H$ with $\|v\| = 1$, $w_1, w_2 \in H$ and $x \in M$ that

$$\langle \Delta(x)\, W^*(v \otimes w_1), W^*(v \otimes w_2) \rangle = \langle x w_1, w_2 \rangle \ ,$$

which easily implies that $(M_*)^2$ is dense in M_*. Hence $\mathcal{I}^\sharp$ is dense in M_*.
Since $I \cap M_*^\sharp$ is dense in M_*, 1 belongs to the σ-strong* closure of $\lambda(I \cap M_*^\sharp)^*$. Combining this with the fact that $\lambda(I \cap M_*^\sharp)$ is a σ-strong*–norm core for $\hat{\Lambda}$ and the inclusion $\lambda(\mathcal{I} \cap M_*^\sharp)^* \lambda(\mathcal{I} \cap M_*^\sharp) \subseteq \lambda(\mathcal{I}^\sharp)$, we conclude that $\lambda(\mathcal{I}^\sharp)$ is a σ-strong*–norm core for $\hat{\Lambda}$. ■

Let us connect the modular objects of $\hat{\varphi}$ to objects already constructed on the level of (M,Δ).

We know that the operators P and $J\delta J$ strongly commute and that $\hat{\nabla}^{it} = P^{it} J \delta^{it} J$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (see lemma 8.8 and proposition 8.9 of [8]). Also notice that this implies for every $a \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$ that $\tau_t(a)\,\delta^{-it}$ belongs to $\mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and $\hat{\nabla}^{it}\Lambda(a) = \Lambda(\tau_t(a)\,\delta^{-it})$.

Put $\hat{T} = \hat{J}\,\hat{\nabla}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. So $\hat{\Lambda}(\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\varphi}} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\varphi}}^*)$ is a core for $\hat{T}$ and $\hat{T}\hat{\Lambda}(x) = \hat{\Lambda}(x^*)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\varphi}} \cap \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\varphi}}^*$.

Lemma 2.7. *The set $\hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\mathcal{I}^\sharp))$ is a core for $\hat{T}$.*

Proof. Since $\hat{T} = \hat{J}\hat{\nabla}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, the definition of $\hat{T}$ gives clearly that $\hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\mathcal{I}^\sharp)) \subseteq D(\hat{\nabla}^{\frac{1}{2}})$. From proposition 2.6, we know that $\hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\mathcal{I}^\sharp))$ is a dense subspace in H.

We now use the notation ρ_t as it was introduced in notation 8.7 of [8]. For every $\omega \in M_*$ we denote by $\rho_t(\omega)$ the element in M_* defined by $\rho_t(\omega)(x) = \omega(\delta^{-it}\tau_{-t}(x))$. Then $\rho_t(\mathcal{I}) = \mathcal{I}$ and $\xi(\rho_t(\omega)) = \hat{\nabla}^{it}\xi(\omega)$ for all $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\omega \in M_*^\sharp$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, it is not so difficult to check that $\rho_t(\omega) \in M_*^\sharp$ and $\rho_t(\omega)^* = \rho_t(\omega^*)$. It follows that $\rho_t(\mathcal{I}^\sharp) = \mathcal{I}^\sharp$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, hence $\hat{\sigma}_t(\lambda(\mathcal{I}^\sharp)) = \lambda(\rho_t(\mathcal{I}^\sharp)) = \lambda(\mathcal{I}^\sharp)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Therefore $\hat{\nabla}^{it}\hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\mathcal{I}^\sharp)) = \hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\mathcal{I}^\sharp))$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We conclude from all this that $\hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\mathcal{I}^\sharp))$ is a core for $\hat{\nabla}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (see e.g. corollary 1.21 of [7]) and the lemma follows. ∎

Proposition 2.8. *Consider $x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \cap D(S^{-1})$ such that $S^{-1}(x)^* \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$. Then $\Lambda(x) \in D(\hat{T}^*)$ and $\hat{T}^*\Lambda(x) = \Lambda(S^{-1}(x)^*)$.*

Proof. Choose $\theta \in \mathcal{I}^\sharp$. Then

$$\langle \hat{T}\hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\theta)), \Lambda(x)\rangle = \langle \hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\theta)^*), \Lambda(x)\rangle = \langle \hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\theta^*)), \Lambda(x)\rangle = \langle \xi(\theta^*), \Lambda(x)\rangle \ .$$

Therefore the definition of $\xi(\theta^*)$ and θ^* imply that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \hat{T}\hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\theta)), \Lambda(x)\rangle &= \theta^*(x^*) = \bar{\theta}(S(x^*)) = \overline{\theta(S^{-1}(x))} = \overline{\langle \xi(\theta), \Lambda(S^{-1}(x)^*)\rangle} \\ &= \langle \Lambda(S^{-1}(x)^*), \xi(\theta)\rangle = \langle \Lambda(S^{-1}(x)^*), \hat{\Lambda}(\lambda(\theta))\rangle \ .\end{aligned}$$

Thus the previous lemma implies that $\Lambda(x)$ belongs to $D(\hat{T}^*)$ and $\hat{T}^*\Lambda(x) = \Lambda(S^{-1}(x)^*)$. ∎

This proposition allows us to establish easily a connection between G and $\hat{T}$. Recall that the operators G, N and I were introduced in proposition 1.3 and notation 1.4.

Corollary 2.9. *We have that $\hat{T}^* = G$, $\hat{\nabla} = N^{-1}$ and $\hat{J} = I$.*

Proof. Using proposition 1.3 and the strong left invariance of ψ (see proposition 5.24 of [8]), the previous result implies easily $G \subseteq \hat{T}^*$.

Define the subspace C of $D(G)$ as $C = \langle\, \Lambda\big((\psi \otimes \iota)(\Delta(y^*)(x \otimes 1))\big) \mid x, y \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi^*\mathcal{N}_\psi \,\rangle$.

Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Remember that $\hat{\nabla}^{it}\Lambda(a) = \Lambda(\tau_t(a)\,\delta^{-it})$ for all $a \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$.

Choose $x, y \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi^*\,\mathcal{N}_\psi$. Then $\delta^{it}\,\tau_t(x)$ and $\delta^{it}\,\tau_t(y)$ belong to $\mathcal{N}_\varphi^*\mathcal{N}_\psi$ and

$$\tau_t\big((\psi \otimes \iota)(\Delta(y^*)(x \otimes 1))\big)\,\delta^{-it} = \nu^t\,(\psi \otimes \iota)(\Delta((\delta^{it}\,\tau_t(y))^*)(\delta^{it}\,\tau_t(x) \otimes 1)) \ .$$

Therefore the element $\hat{\nabla}^{it}\Lambda\big((\psi \otimes \iota)(\Delta(y^*)(x \otimes 1))\big) = \Lambda\big(\,\tau_t\big((\psi \otimes \iota)(\Delta(y^*)(x \otimes 1))\big)\,\delta^{-it}\,\big)$ belongs to C.

We conclude that C is a dense subspace of $D(\hat{\nabla}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, invariant under the family of operators $\hat{\nabla}^{it}$ $(t \in \mathbb{R})$. It follows that C is a core for $\hat{\nabla}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and thus a core for $\hat{T}^* = \hat{J}\hat{\nabla}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Combining this with the fact that $G \subseteq \hat{T}^*$, we conclude that $G = \hat{T}^*$. Now the uniqueness of the polar decomposition implies that $\hat{\nabla} = N^{-1}$ and $\hat{J} = I$. ∎

Combining the previous corollary with proposition 1.3 we get the following.

Corollary 2.10. *The set*

$$\{ \Lambda(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \cap D(S^{-1}) \text{ such that } S^{-1}(x)^* \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \}$$

is a core for $\hat{T}^*$.

Recall that we introduced the GNS-construcion (H, ι, Γ) for ψ by considering ψ as φ_δ and setting $\Gamma = \Lambda_\delta$. But ψ is by definition equal to φR. It turns out that $\hat{J}$ connects both pictures of ψ:

Proposition 2.11. *We have for all* $x \in \mathcal{N}_\psi$ *that* $\hat{J}\,\Gamma(x) = \Lambda(R(x)^*)$.

Proof. Define the anti-unitary $U : H \to H$ such that $U\Gamma(x) = \Lambda(R(x)^*)$ for $x \in \mathcal{N}_\psi$. Choose $a \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$ such that $a \in D(S^{-1})$ and $S^{-1}(a)^* \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $e_n \in M$ such that $e_n = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int \exp(-n^2 t^2)\, \delta^{it}\, dt$. Remember that e_n is analytic with respect to σ and σ', implying that $\mathcal{N}_\varphi\, e_n \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and $\mathcal{N}_\psi\, e_n \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\psi$

Since $\tau_s(\delta) = \delta$ we see that $\tau_s(e_n) = e_n$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, hence $e_n \in D(\tau_{\frac{i}{2}})$ and $\tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(e_n) = e_n$. By assumption, $a \in D(\tau_{\frac{i}{2}})$, so $a\, e_n \in D(\tau_{\frac{i}{2}})$ and $\tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(a\, e_n) = \tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(a)\, e_n$. Hence $\tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(a\, e_n)\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is a bounded operator and its closure equals $\tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(a)\,(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} e_n)$.

Define the strongly continuous one-parameter group κ of isometries of M such that $\kappa_t(x) = \tau_t(x)\, \delta^{-it}$ for $x \in M$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The discussion above implies (see e.g. proposition 4.9 of [7]) that $a\, e_n \in D(\kappa_{\frac{i}{2}})$ and $\kappa_{\frac{i}{2}}(a\, e_n) = \tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(a)\,(\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} e_n)$.

By assumption $R(\tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(a))^* = S^{-1}(a)^* \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$, implying that $\tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(a)$ belongs to $\mathcal{N}_\psi$. So we see that $\kappa_{\frac{i}{2}}(a\, e_n)\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is a bounded operator and that its closure equals $\tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(a)\, e_n \in \mathcal{N}_\psi$. Since $\Lambda = \Gamma_{\delta^{-1}}$, this implies that $\kappa_{\frac{i}{2}}(a\, e_n) \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and

$$\Lambda(\kappa_{\frac{i}{2}}(a\, e_n)) = \Gamma(\kappa_{\frac{i}{2}}(a\, e_n)\, \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = \Gamma(\tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(a)\, e_n) \ .$$

We know that we have for every $x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$ that $\kappa_t(x) \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and $\Lambda(\kappa_t(x)) = \hat{\nabla}^{it}\Lambda(x)$. Since $a\, e_n \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and $\kappa_{\frac{i}{2}}(a\, e_n) \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$, we conclude (see e.g. proposition 4.4 of [6]) that $\Lambda(a\, e_n) \in D(\hat{\nabla}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ and

$$\hat{\nabla}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Lambda(a\, e_n) = \Lambda(\kappa_{\frac{i}{2}}(a\, e_n)) = \Gamma(\tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(a)\, e_n) \ .$$

Since $(\Lambda(a\, e_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $\Lambda(a)$ and $(\Gamma(\tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(a)\, e_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $\Gamma(\tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(a))$, the closedness of $\hat{\nabla}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ implies that $\Lambda(a) \in D(\hat{\nabla}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$ and

$$\hat{\nabla}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Lambda(a) = \Gamma(\tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(a)) \ .$$

Consequently

$$U\,\hat{\nabla}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Lambda(a) = U\Gamma(\tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(a)) = \Lambda(R(\tau_{\frac{i}{2}}(a))^*) = \Lambda(S^{-1}(a)^*) = \hat{T}^*\Lambda(a) = \hat{J}\,\hat{\nabla}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Lambda(a) \ .$$

Since such elements $\Lambda(a)$ form a core for $\hat{\nabla}^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \hat{J}\,\hat{T}^*$, such elements $\hat{\nabla}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Lambda(a)$ form a dense subspace of H. Therefore $\hat{J} = U$ and we are done. ■

The equality in the next corollary is a slight adaptation of corollary 3.6.2.(iv) of [11].

Corollary 2.12. *We have that* $\hat{J}\,J = \nu^{\frac{i}{4}}\ J\,\hat{J}$.

Proof. As already mentioned in section 1, $J' := \nu^{\frac{i}{4}}\, J$ is the modular conjugation of ψ in the GNS-construction (H, ι, Γ). Choose $x \in \mathcal{N}_\psi \cap D(\sigma'_{\frac{i}{2}})$. Since $\sigma_{-t}\, R = R\, \sigma'_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we get that $R(x) \in D(\sigma_{-\frac{i}{2}})$ and $\sigma_{-\frac{i}{2}}(R(x)) = R(\sigma'_{\frac{i}{2}}(x))$. Hence $R(x)^* \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi \cap D(\sigma_{\frac{i}{2}})$ and $\sigma_{\frac{i}{2}}(R(x)^*) = R(\sigma'_{\frac{i}{2}}(x))^*$. Combining this with the previous proposition and the definition of the modular conjugation, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{J}\,J\,\Gamma(x) &= \nu^{\frac{i}{4}}\,\hat{J}\,J'\,\Gamma(x) = \nu^{\frac{i}{4}}\,\hat{J}\,\Gamma(\sigma'_{\frac{i}{2}}(x)^*) = \nu^{\frac{i}{4}}\,\Lambda(R(\sigma'_{\frac{i}{2}}(x)^*)^*) \\ &= \nu^{\frac{i}{4}}\,\Lambda(\sigma_{\frac{i}{2}}(R(x)^*)^*) = \nu^{\frac{i}{4}}\,J\,\Lambda(R(x)^*) = \nu^{\frac{i}{4}}\,J\,\hat{J}\,\Gamma(x) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\hat{J}\,J = \nu^{\frac{i}{4}}\;J\,\hat{J}$. ■

Recall that we denoted by $\nabla\!\!\!\!/$ the modular operator of ψ in the GNS-construction (H,ι,Γ) and by $\hat{\nabla\!\!\!\!/}$ the modular operator of $\hat{\psi}$ in the GNS-construction $(H,\iota,\hat{\Gamma})$.

Proposition 2.13. *For all $s,t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have the following commutation relations.*

$$\hat{\nabla}^{it}\,\nabla^{is} = \nu^{ist}\,\nabla^{is}\,\hat{\nabla}^{it} \ \ \textit{and}\ \ \hat{\nabla\!\!\!\!/}^{\,it}\,\nabla\!\!\!\!/^{\,is} = \nu^{ist}\,\nabla\!\!\!\!/^{\,is}\,\hat{\nabla\!\!\!\!/}^{\,it} \tag{2.4}$$

$$\hat{\nabla}^{it}\,\nabla\!\!\!\!/^{\,is} = \nu^{ist}\,\nabla\!\!\!\!/^{\,is}\,\hat{\nabla}^{it} \ \ \textit{and}\ \ \nabla^{is}\nabla\!\!\!\!/^{\,it} = \nabla\!\!\!\!/^{\,it}\nabla^{is} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\hat{J}\,\nabla\hat{J} = \nabla\!\!\!\!/\ ,\ \ J\nabla J = \nabla^{-1} \ \ \textit{and}\ \ J\nabla\!\!\!\!/\,J = \nabla\!\!\!\!/^{\,-1} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\hat{J}P\hat{J} = P^{-1} \ \ \textit{and}\ \ \hat{J}\delta\hat{J} = \delta^{-1} \tag{2.7}$$

$$P^{is}\,\nabla^{it} = \nabla^{it}\,P^{is} \ \ \textit{and}\ \ P^{is}\,\nabla\!\!\!\!/^{\,it} = \nabla\!\!\!\!/^{\,it}\,P^{is} \tag{2.8}$$

$$P^{is}\,\delta^{it} = \delta^{it}\,P^{is} \tag{2.9}$$

$$\nabla^{is}\,\delta^{it} = \nu^{ist}\,\delta^{it}\,\nabla^{is} \ \ \textit{and}\ \ \nabla\!\!\!\!/^{\,is}\,\delta^{it} = \nu^{ist}\,\delta^{it}\,\nabla\!\!\!\!/^{\,is} \tag{2.10}$$

$$\hat{\nabla}^{is}\,\delta^{it} = \delta^{it}\,\hat{\nabla}^{is} \ \ \textit{and}\ \ \hat{\nabla\!\!\!\!/}^{\,is}\delta^{it} = \delta^{it}\,\hat{\nabla\!\!\!\!/}^{\,is} \tag{2.11}$$

All commutation relations remain true if we remove the $\hat{}$ of ∇,$\nabla\!\!\!\!/$ and J if there is one, add a $\hat{}$ to ∇,$\nabla\!\!\!\!/$ and J if there is not one, replace ν by ν^{-1}, replace δ by $\hat{\delta}$ and leave P unchanged.

Proof. It is easy to check that $\nabla\!\!\!\!/^{\,it}\Lambda(x) = \nu^{-\frac{t}{2}}\Lambda(\sigma'_t(x))$ for all $x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$. We already mentioned that $\hat{\nabla}^{it}\Lambda(x) = \Lambda(\tau_t(x)\delta^{-it})$ for all $x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and by definition we have $\nabla^{it}\Lambda(x) = \Lambda(\sigma_t(x))$ and $P^{it}\Lambda(x) = \nu^{\frac{t}{2}}\Lambda(\tau_t(x))$ for all $x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$. Because $\tau_t(\delta) = \delta$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ it is easy to verify that $P^{it}\Gamma(x) = \nu^{\frac{t}{2}}\Gamma(\tau_t(x))$ for all $x \in \mathcal{N}_\psi$ and by definition we have $\nabla\!\!\!\!/^{\,it}\Gamma(x) = \Gamma(\sigma'_t(x))$ for all $x \in \mathcal{N}_\psi$.

Using that all three one-parametergroups σ, σ' and τ commute and that $\sigma_t(\delta^{is}) = \sigma'_t(\delta^{is}) = \nu^{ist}\delta^{is}$ and $\tau_t(\delta^{is}) = \delta^{is}$ for all $s,t \in \mathbb{R}$, it is straightforward to check the first equality in equation (2.4), equation (2.5) and equation (2.8) by applying the operators to an element $\Lambda(x)$ with $x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$. Using proposition 2.11 and the fact that $\sigma_t R = R\sigma'_{-t}$ we can check the equalities $\hat{J}\nabla^{it}\hat{J} = \nabla\!\!\!\!/^{\,-it}$ and $\hat{J}P^{it}\hat{J} = P^{it}$ on a vector $\Gamma(x)$ when $x \in \mathcal{N}_\psi$. This gives the first equalities of equations (2.6) and (2.7), and the rest of equation (2.6) follows from modular theory, because $\nu^{\frac{i}{4}}J$ is the modular conjugation of ψ in the GNS-construction (H,ι,Γ). By the biduality theorem we also get $J\hat{\nabla}J = \hat{\nabla\!\!\!\!/}$ and $JPJ = P^{-1}$. Because for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\hat{\nabla}^{it} = P^{it}J\delta^{it}J$, we get $\hat{\nabla\!\!\!\!/}^{\,it} = P^{-it}\delta^{-it}$. This implies that $\hat{\nabla\!\!\!\!/}^{\,it}\Lambda(x) = \nu^{-\frac{t}{2}}\Lambda(\delta^{-it}\tau_{-t}(x))$ for all $x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and we can check then the second equality in equation (2.4) on a vector $\Lambda(x)$ with $x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$.

Because $R(x) = \hat{J}x^*\hat{J}$ for all $x \in M$ and $R(\delta) = \delta^{-1}$, we get the second equality of equation (2.7). Equations (2.9) and (2.10) follow because P^{is}, ∇^{is} and $\nabla\!\!\!\!/^{\,is}$ implement respectively τ_s, σ_s and σ'_s on M. Also $\hat{\nabla}^{is}$ implements τ_s on M and this gives the first equality of equation (2.11). Because we already saw that $\hat{\nabla\!\!\!\!/}^{\,is} = P^{-is}\delta^{-is}$ the second equality of equation (2.11) follows immediately from equation (2.9).

By the biduality theorem we can indeed perform the operation stated in the proposition, because $P^{it}\hat{\Lambda}(y) = \nu^{-\frac{t}{2}}\hat{\Lambda}(\hat{\tau}_t(y))$ for all $y \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\varphi}}$ and so in a sense $\hat{P} = P$. ■

Recall that we introduced the GNS-maps Λ, Γ, $\hat{\Lambda}$ and $\hat{\Gamma}$ for the weights φ, ψ, $\hat{\varphi}$ and $\hat{\psi}$ respectively. Using this we will define now three new multiplicative unitaries on $H \otimes H$ and relate them with our multiplicative unitary W we used all the time. Recall that we already mentioned V in section 1.

Definition 2.14. *Applying the von Neumann algebraic counterpart of theorem 3.16 in* [8] *(and its right invariant version) to (M,Δ) and $(\hat{M},\hat{\Delta})$, one can define the unitaries V, $\hat{W}$ and $\hat{V}$ on $H \otimes H$ by the* *following formulas.*

$$V(\Gamma(x)\otimes\Gamma(y)) = (\Gamma\otimes\Gamma)(\Delta(x)(1\otimes y)) \textit{ for all } x,y\in\mathcal{N}_\psi$$
$$\hat{W}^*(\hat{\Lambda}(x)\otimes\hat{\Lambda}(y)) = (\hat{\Lambda}\otimes\hat{\Lambda})(\hat{\Delta}(y)(x\otimes 1)) \textit{ for all } x,y\in\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\varphi}}$$
$$\hat{V}(\hat{\Gamma}(x)\otimes\hat{\Gamma}(y)) = (\hat{\Gamma}\otimes\hat{\Gamma})(\hat{\Delta}(x)(1\otimes y)) \textit{ for all } x,y\in\mathcal{N}_{\hat{\psi}}\ .$$

Observe that all the unitaries W, V, $\hat{W}$ and $\hat{V}$ satisfy the pentagonal equation

$$W_{12}W_{13}W_{23} = W_{23}W_{12}.$$

This could be checked directly, but it also follows from the pentagonal equation for W and the formulas appearing in proposition 2.15.

Almost by definition we have the following.

$$\Delta(x) = W^*(1\otimes x)W = V(x\otimes 1)V^* \text{ for all } x\in M \text{ and}$$
$$\hat{\Delta}(y) = \hat{W}^*(1\otimes y)\hat{W} = \hat{V}(y\otimes 1)\hat{V}^* \text{ for all } y\in\hat{M}.$$

The relation between all these multiplicative unitaries is given in the next proposition.

Proposition 2.15. *We have the following formulas.*

$$\hat{W} = \Sigma W^*\Sigma$$
$$V = (\hat{J}\otimes\hat{J})\Sigma W^*\Sigma(\hat{J}\otimes\hat{J})$$
$$\hat{V} = (J\otimes J)W(J\otimes J).$$

So we have $\hat{W}\in\hat{M}\otimes M$, $V\in\hat{M}'\otimes M$ *and* $\hat{V}\in M'\otimes\hat{M}$.

Proof. The first equality follows from proposition 8.16 in [8]. Combining lemma 8.26 in [8] and our proposition 2.11 we get the second equality. Dualizing this we get $\hat{V} = (J\otimes J)\Sigma\hat{W}^*\Sigma(J\otimes J)$ and this gives the third equality after applying the first one.

The final statement follows from the fact that $W\in M\otimes\hat{M}$, the previous formulas and the equalities $JMJ = M'$, $\hat{J}\hat{M}\hat{J} = \hat{M}'$, $\hat{J}M\hat{J} = M$ and $J\hat{M}J = \hat{M}$. The first two of these equalities follow from modular theory and the last two from proposition 2.1. ∎

3. A STRONGER FORM OF LEFT INVARIANCE.

In this section we want to prove some stronger form of left invariance of the Haar weight φ. We want to show that $(\iota\otimes\iota\otimes\varphi)(\iota\otimes\Delta)(X) = (\iota\otimes\varphi)(X)\otimes 1$ for any positive element $X\in N\otimes M$ and any von Neumann algebra N. The same formula is stated in [2] for Kac algebras, but not proved. The first proof for this formula in the Kac algebra case was given bij Zsidó in [19] (see also remark 18.23 in [12]). Unfortunately the proof of Zsidó does not work in the case of an arbitrary von Neumann algebraic quantum group, where possibly $\tau_t\neq\iota$.

In our definition of a von Neumann algebraic quantum group we assumed the existence of invariant weights. The notion of left invariance we use, is in fact the weakest form of left invariance that one can assume, namely $\varphi\big((\omega\otimes\iota)\Delta(x)\big) = \varphi(x)\omega(1)$ for all $\omega\in M_*^+$ and $x\in\mathcal{M}_\varphi^+$. As a special case of the next proposition we will get the strongest form of left invariance, namely $(\iota\otimes\varphi)\Delta(x) = \varphi(x)1$ for all $x\in M^+$. Some result in between was already proved in proposition 5.15 of [8], and will be used in the proof of the proposition.

When N is a von Neumann algebra we denote by N_{ext}^+ the extended positive part of N as was already mentioned in the introduction. In the proof of the next proposition we denote by $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ the composition of elements in N_{ext}^+ and N_*^+.

Proposition 3.1. *Let N be a von Neumann algebra and $X \in (N \otimes M)^+$. Then we have*

$$(\iota \otimes \iota \otimes \varphi)(\iota \otimes \Delta)(X) = (\iota \otimes \varphi)(X) \otimes 1.$$

Here both sides of the equation make sense in $(N \otimes M)^+_{\mathrm{ext}}$. In particular we get

$$(\iota \otimes \varphi)\Delta(x) = \varphi(x)1$$

for all $x \in M^+$.

Proof. We will prove the proposition for the dual von Neumann algebraic quantum group $(\hat{M}, \hat{\Delta})$. Because of the biduality theorem this proves the stated result. We also represent N on a Hilbert space K and then it is enough to prove the proposition in case $N = B(K)$.

Recall that we introduced the multiplicative unitary $\hat{V}$ in definition 2.14. Then define for every $z \in B(K \otimes H)^+$ the element $T(z) \in B(K \otimes H)^+_{\mathrm{ext}}$ by the following formula, which makes sense because $\hat{V} \in B(H) \otimes \hat{M}$.

$$T(z) = (\iota \otimes \iota \otimes \hat{\varphi})\big((1 \otimes \hat{V})(z \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \hat{V}^*)\big).$$

When $\eta \in K \otimes H$ we denote by P_η the positive rank one operator defined by $P_\eta(\xi) = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle \eta$. Let now $\eta \in K \otimes H$ and suppose $\|\eta\| = 1$. Choose an orthonormal basis $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ of $K \otimes H$ such that $\eta = e_i$ for some $i \in I$.

Choose $\xi \in K \otimes H$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T(P_\eta), \omega_\xi \rangle &= \hat{\varphi}\Big((\omega_\xi \otimes \iota)\big((1 \otimes \hat{V})(P_\eta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \hat{V}^*)\big)\Big) \\
&= \sum_{i \in I} \hat{\varphi}\Big(\big((\omega_{\xi, e_i} \otimes \iota)((P_\eta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \hat{V}^*))\big)^*(\omega_{\xi, e_i} \otimes \iota)((P_\eta \otimes 1)(1 \otimes \hat{V}^*))\Big) \\
&= \hat{\varphi}\Big(\big((\omega_{\xi,\eta} \otimes \iota)(1 \otimes \hat{V}^*)\big)^*(\omega_{\xi,\eta} \otimes \iota)(1 \otimes \hat{V}^*)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

In proposition 2.15 we saw that $\hat{V}^* = (J \otimes J)W^*(J \otimes J) = (w^* \otimes 1)W(w \otimes 1)$ where $w = \hat{J}J$. The last equality follows from corollary 2.2. So it follows that

$$\langle T(P_\eta), \omega_\xi \rangle = \hat{\varphi}\Big(\big((\omega_{(1 \otimes w)\xi, (1 \otimes w)\eta} \otimes \iota)(1 \otimes W)\big)^*(\omega_{(1 \otimes w)\xi, (1 \otimes w)\eta} \otimes \iota)(1 \otimes W)\Big).$$

In remark 8.31 of [8] we saw that for $\omega \in M_*$ one has $(\omega \otimes \iota)(W) \in \mathcal{N}_{\hat{\varphi}}$ if and only if $\omega \in \mathcal{I}$. So it follows that $\langle T(P_\eta), \omega_\xi \rangle < \infty$ if and only if

$$\omega_{(1 \otimes w)\xi, (1 \otimes w)\eta}(1 \otimes \cdot) \in \mathcal{I} \tag{3.1}$$

and in that case

$$\langle T(P_\eta), \omega_\xi \rangle = \|\xi(\omega_{(1 \otimes w)\xi, (1 \otimes w)\eta}(1 \otimes \cdot))\|^2.$$

Suppose that $u \in M$ is a unitary. And suppose that formula (3.1) is valid. We claim that

$$\omega_{(1 \otimes w)(1 \otimes JuJ)\xi, (1 \otimes w)\eta}(1 \otimes \cdot) \in \mathcal{I}$$

and

$$\xi\big(\omega_{(1 \otimes w)(1 \otimes JuJ)\xi, (1 \otimes w)\eta}(1 \otimes \cdot)\big) = R(u^*)\xi\big(\omega_{(1 \otimes w)\xi, (1 \otimes w)\eta}(1 \otimes \cdot)\big).$$

For this choose $x \in \mathcal{N}_\varphi$ and make the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\omega_{(1 \otimes w)(1 \otimes JuJ)\xi, (1 \otimes w)\eta}(1 \otimes x^*) \\
&\qquad = \langle (1 \otimes x^*)(1 \otimes \hat{J}uJ)\xi, (1 \otimes w)\eta \rangle \\
&\qquad = \langle (1 \otimes x^*)(1 \otimes R(u^*))(1 \otimes w)\xi, (1 \otimes w)\eta \rangle \\
&\qquad = \langle \xi\big(\omega_{(1 \otimes w)\xi, (1 \otimes w)\eta}(1 \otimes \cdot)\big), R(u)\Lambda(x) \rangle \\
&\qquad = \langle R(u^*)\xi\big(\omega_{(1 \otimes w)\xi, (1 \otimes w)\eta}(1 \otimes \cdot)\big), \Lambda(x) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

From this follows our claim.

But then we get for every $\xi \in K \otimes H$ and every unitary $u \in M$ that

$$\langle T(P_\eta), \omega_\xi \rangle = \langle T(P_\eta), \omega_{(1 \otimes JuJ)\xi} \rangle.$$

From this we may conclude that $T(P_\eta) \in (B(K) \otimes M)^+_{\text{ext}}$, for all $\eta \in K \otimes H$. Let now $z \in B(K \otimes H)^+$. Let $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ again be an orthonormal basis for $K \otimes H$. Then

$$z = \sum_{i \in I} z^{1/2} P_{e_i} z^{1/2} = \sum_{i \in I} P_{z^{1/2} e_i}.$$

By lower semicontinuity of T we can conclude that $T(z) \in (B(K) \otimes M)^+_{\text{ext}}$.

Let now $X \in \big(B(K) \otimes \hat{M}\big)^+$. Then

$$T(X) = (\iota \otimes \iota \otimes \hat{\varphi})(\iota \otimes \hat{\Delta})(X)$$

and this clearly belongs to $(B(K) \otimes \hat{M})^+_{\text{ext}}$. But it also belongs to $(B(K) \otimes M)^+_{\text{ext}}$ by the result in the previous paragraph. Let

$$T(X) = \infty \cdot (1 - e) + \int_0^\infty \lambda de_\lambda$$

be the unique spectral decomposition of $T(X)$, considered as an element of $B(K \otimes H)^+_{\text{ext}}$. Then

$$e, e_\lambda \in \big(B(K) \otimes M\big) \cap \big(B(K) \otimes \hat{M}\big) = B(K) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

because $M \cap \hat{M} = \mathbb{C}$. So take $f, f_\lambda \in B(K)$ such that $e = f \otimes 1$ and $e_\lambda = f_\lambda \otimes 1$. Then define the element $S \in B(K)^+_{\text{ext}}$ by

$$S = \infty \cdot (1 - f) + \int_0^\infty \lambda df_\lambda.$$

Then we get that

$$(\iota \otimes \iota \otimes \hat{\varphi})(\iota \otimes \hat{\Delta})(X) = S \otimes 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Let us now suppose first that $K = \mathbb{C}$. This will prove the special case stated in the proposition. Then $X \in \hat{M}^+$ and S will be a scalar. So we get a $\lambda \in [0, +\infty]$ such that

$$(\iota \otimes \hat{\varphi})\hat{\Delta}(X) = \lambda\, 1.$$

Now there are two possibilities.

- Either there exists a $\omega \in \hat{M}^+_*$ with $\omega \neq 0$ such that $(\omega \otimes \iota)\hat{\Delta}(X) \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\hat{\varphi}}$. Then $\lambda < +\infty$ because
$$\lambda\, \omega(1) = \hat{\varphi}\big((\omega \otimes \iota)\hat{\Delta}(X)\big) < \infty.$$
But then also
$$\hat{\varphi}\big((\mu \otimes \iota)\hat{\Delta}(X)\big) = \lambda\, \mu(1) < \infty$$
for all $\mu \in \hat{M}^+_*$, and so $(\mu \otimes \iota)\hat{\Delta}(X) \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\hat{\varphi}}$ for all $\mu \in \hat{M}^+_*$. Then it follows from proposition 5.15 in [8] that $X \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\hat{\varphi}}$ and so $\lambda = \hat{\varphi}(X)$ because of left invariance.
- Either we have $\hat{\varphi}\big((\omega \otimes \iota)\hat{\Delta}(X)\big) = +\infty$ for all $\omega \in \hat{M}^+_* \setminus \{0\}$. This means that $\lambda = +\infty$. Because of left invariance we cannot have $X \in \mathcal{M}^+_{\hat{\varphi}}$ and so $\hat{\varphi}(X) = +\infty$. Again $\lambda = \hat{\varphi}(X)$.

In both cases we arrive at $(\iota \otimes \hat{\varphi})\hat{\Delta}(X) = \hat{\varphi}(X)\, 1$.

Now we return to the general case. Let $\omega \in B(K)^+_*$ and $\mu \in \hat{M}^+_*$. Then we apply $\omega \otimes \mu$ to equation (3.2). This gives us

$$\begin{aligned}\langle S, \omega \rangle\, \mu(1) = \hat{\varphi}\big((\omega \otimes \mu \otimes \iota)(\iota \otimes \hat{\Delta})(X)\big) &= \hat{\varphi}\big((\mu \otimes \iota)\hat{\Delta}((\omega \otimes \iota)(X))\big) \\ &= \mu(1)\hat{\varphi}\big((\omega \otimes \iota)(X)\big) = \mu(1)\, \langle (\iota \otimes \hat{\varphi})(X), \omega \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

In this computation we used the special case of the proposition proved above. So it follows that $S = (\iota \otimes \hat{\varphi})(X)$ and this gives what we wanted to prove. ∎

4. The opposite and the commutant von Neumann algebraic quantum group

Given a von Neumann algebraic quantum group (M, Δ), represented standardly such that (H, ι, Λ) is a GNS-construction for the left Haar weight φ, we can define two new von Neumann algebraic quantum groups called the opposite von Neumann algebraic quantum group $(M, \Delta)^{\mathrm{op}}$ and the commutant von Neumann algebraic quantum group $(M, \Delta)'$. With the notations introduced before we give the following definition.

Definition 4.1. *The underlying von Neumann algebra of the opposite von Neumann algebraic quantum group* $(M, \Delta)^{\mathrm{op}}$ *is again* M *and the comultiplication* Δ^{op} *is given by* $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}(x) = \chi\Delta(x)$ *for all* $x \in M$*.*

The underlying von Neumann algebra of the commutant von Neumann algebraic quantum group $(M, \Delta)'$ *is given by* M' *and the comultiplication* Δ' *is defined by* $\Delta'(x) = (J \otimes J)\Delta(JxJ)(J \otimes J)$ *for all* $x \in M'$*.*

It is easy to see that $(M, \Delta)^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $(M, \Delta)'$ are again von Neumann algebraic quantum groups. We will now give canonical choices for the left invariant weights and their GNS-construction. As a left invariant weight on $(M, \Delta)^{\mathrm{op}}$ we take ψ, with GNS-construction (H, ι, Γ). On M' we define the weight φ' by $\varphi'(x) = \varphi(JxJ)$ for all $x \in (M')^+$. Then φ' is a left invariant weight on $(M, \Delta)'$, with GNS-construction (H, ι, Λ'), where $\Lambda'(x) = J\Lambda(JxJ)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{N}_{\varphi'}$.

Given these GNS-constructions we can define the multiplicative unitaries W^{op} and W' associated to $(M, \Delta)^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $(M, \Delta)'$ and it is clear that, using definition 2.14 and proposition 2.15, they are given by

$$W^{\mathrm{op}} = \Sigma V^* \Sigma \quad \text{and} \quad W' = (J \otimes J)W(J \otimes J) = \hat{V}.$$

It is also clear that the unitary antipode R^{op} of $(M, \Delta)^{\mathrm{op}}$ equals R and the unitary antipode R' of $(M, \Delta)'$ is given by $R'(x) = JR(JxJ)J$ for all $x \in M'$. So the canonical right invariant weights on $(M, \Delta)^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $(M, \Delta)'$ are φ and ψ'. Then the modular elements δ^{op} and δ' are given by

$$\delta^{\mathrm{op}} = \delta^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta' = J\delta J.$$

One also checks easily that $\tau_t{}^{\mathrm{op}}$ equals τ_{-t} and $\tau'_t(x) = J\tau_{-t}(JxJ)J$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in M'$.

Defining the unitary $w = \hat{J}J = \nu^{i/4} J\hat{J}$ it is easy to see that $\Phi : M \to M' : \Phi(x) = wxw^*$ gives an isomorphism between the von Neumann algebraic quantum groups (M, Δ) and $(M, \Delta)'^{\mathrm{op}}$. To prove this we only have to observe that $R(x) = \hat{J}x^*\hat{J}$ for all $x \in M$ and $(R \otimes R)\Delta(x) = \Delta^{\mathrm{op}}(R(x))$ for all $x \in M$.

We conclude this section with the following formulas.

Proposition 4.2. *With the notations introduced above we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} (M, \Delta)^{\mathrm{op}\,\hat{}} &= (M, \Delta)^{\hat{}\,\prime} \\ (M, \Delta)'^{\,\hat{}} &= (M, \Delta)^{\hat{}\,\mathrm{op}} \\ (M, \Delta)'^{\,\mathrm{op}} &= (M, \Delta)^{\mathrm{op}\,\prime}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Because $W^{\mathrm{op}} = \Sigma V^* \Sigma$, the von Neumann algebra underlying $(M, \Delta)^{\mathrm{op}\,\hat{}}$ is given by

$$\big\{(\omega \otimes \iota)(W^{\mathrm{op}}) \mid \omega \in B(H)_*\big\}'' = \big\{(\iota \otimes \omega)(V^*) \mid \omega \in B(H)_*\big\}'' = \hat{M}'.$$

The last equality follows from proposition 2.15. Further we have for every $x \in \hat{M}'$ that

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{op}\,\hat{}}(x) = \Sigma W^{\mathrm{op}}(x \otimes 1)(W^{\mathrm{op}})^* \Sigma = V^*(1 \otimes x)V.$$

Because $V = (\hat{J} \otimes \hat{J})\Sigma W^* \Sigma(\hat{J} \otimes \hat{J})$, this gives

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{op}\,\hat{}}(x) = \Sigma(\hat{J} \otimes \hat{J})W(\hat{J}x\hat{J} \otimes 1)W^*(\hat{J} \otimes \hat{J})\Sigma = (\hat{J} \otimes \hat{J})\hat{\Delta}(\hat{J}x\hat{J})(\hat{J} \otimes \hat{J}) = \hat{\Delta}'(x).$$

This gives $(M, \Delta)^{\mathrm{op}\,\hat{}} = (M, \Delta)^{\hat{}\,\prime}$.

Applying this last formula to $(M,\Delta)\hat{}$ and using the biduality theorem we get $(M,\Delta)\hat{}^{\,\mathrm{op}\,\hat{}} = (M,\Delta)'$. Taking the dual and using once again the biduality theorem this gives our second result $(M,\Delta)\hat{}^{\,\mathrm{op}} = (M,\Delta)'\hat{}$.

To compute $(M,\Delta)^{\mathrm{op}\,\prime}$ we have to observe once again that the modular conjugation J' of the left invariant weight ψ on $(M,\Delta)^{\mathrm{op}}$ is given by $J' = \nu^{i/4} J$. Then it is clear that $(M,\Delta)^{\mathrm{op}\,\prime} = (M,\Delta)'^{\mathrm{op}}$. ■

References

[1] S. Baaj & G. Skandalis, Unitaires multiplicatifs et dualité pour les produits croisés de C*-algèbres. *Ann. scient. Ec. Norm. Sup., 4^e série*, **26** (1993), 425–488.

[2] M. Enock & J.-M. Schwartz, Produit croisé d'une algèbre de von Neumann par une algèbre de Kac II. *Publ. RIMS* **16** (1980), 189-232.

[3] M. Enock & J.-M. Schwartz, Kac Algebras and Duality of Locally Compact Groups. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin* (1992).

[4] U. Haagerup, Operator valued weights in von Neumann algebras I. *J. Funct. Anal.* **32** (1979), 175–206.

[5] J. Kustermans, Induced corepresentations of locally compact quantum groups. *Preprint KU Leuven* (2000).

[6] J. Kustermans, KMS-weights on C*-algebras. *Preprint Odense Universitet* (1997). #funct-an/9704008

[7] J. Kustermans, One-parameter representations on C*-algebras. *Preprint Odense Universitet* (1997). #funct-an/9707010

[8] J. Kustermans & S. Vaes, Locally compact quantum groups. *Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup.* (1999), to appear.

[9] J. Kustermans & S. Vaes, A simple definition for locally compact quantum groups. *C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. I* **328 (10)** (1999), 871–876.

[10] J. Kustermans & S. Vaes, The operator algebra approach to quantum groups. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **97 (2)** (2000), 547–552.

[11] T. Masuda & Y. Nakagami, A von Neumann algebra framework for the duality of the quantum groups. *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto University* **30** (1994), 799–850.

[12] S. Stratila, Modular Theory in Operator Algebras. *Abacus Press, Tunbridge Wells, England* (1981).

[13] S. Vaes, The unitary implementation of a locally compact quantum group action. *Preprint KU Leuven* (2000).

[14] S. Vaes, A Radon-Nikodym theorem for von Neumann algebras. *J. Operator Theory* (1998), to appear.

[15] L.I. Vainerman & G.I. Kac, Nonunimodular ring-groups and Hopf-von Neumann algebras. *Math. USSR, Sbornik* **23** (1974), 185–214.

[16] A. Van Daele, An algebraic framework for group duality. *Adv. in Math.* **140** (1998), 323–366.

[17] A. Weil, L'intégration dans les groupes topologiques et ses applications. *Act. Sc. Ind.* **1145**, Hermann, Paris, 1953.

[18] S.L. Woronowicz, From multiplicative unitaries to quantum groups. *Int. J. Math.* **Vol. 7, No. 1** (1996), 127–149.

[19] L. Zsidó, On the equality of two weights. *Rev. Roum. Math. Pur. Appl.* **23** (1978), 631–646.